

Amendments of the Specification:

Please replace the ninth paragraph (two lines line) of the Brief Description of the drawings to read as follows:

FIGS. 14 through 18 show a preferred embodiment using a moving modulator.

Please replace the section of the specification beginning near the bottom of page 12 entitled "Retro-Reflector Lens Design" (20 paragraphs) with the following 20 paragraphs. No new matter was added. The purpose of the change is to change "FIGS. 14A and 14B" to -- FIGS. 14 and 15 --. Marked up pages are attached to show the changes.

Retro-Reflector Lens Design

The preliminary retroreflector design is based on a refractive optical system with a curved mirror at the focal plane, as shown in FIG. 14 and 15. The modulator is placed near the focal plane, so all the incoming light is concentrated into a small area. The instantaneous field of view of the optical system is determined by the modulator diameter and the lens focal length.

Assuming a 6 mm diameter modulator, and an 86 mm focal length, the instantaneous field of view is 4° . A reasonable focal ratio of F/2.4 leads to an input aperture of 36 mm. This is much larger than any cat's-eye design with a similar focal length. The field of regard for this simple doublet with a primary mirror is 120 degrees. FIGS. 14 and 15 show two ray bundles, one at 60 degrees off-axis, and one on-axis, are shown. The modulator near the mirror is not shown in the FIG. 14 view.

We have designed a preliminary system to show the essential details. The simple doublet shown in FIGS. 14 and 15 provides a diffraction-limited retro-reflection over a field larger than about 6 degrees (or 12 degrees with a 25 mm aperture). This field can be increased to about 120 degrees by adding additional optical surfaces and selecting appropriate optical glasses. For example, some fisheye lenses for 35 mm cameras have been designed with fields of view exceeding 180 degrees, all while maintaining color correction over the entire

visible spectrum. The lens required here differs in that only monochromatic color correction is required, freeing up those surfaces and materials to aid in improving the wave front quality. In addition, instead of requiring a flat focal plane, we need a curved surface that is normal to the incoming beam. We can use an aspheric surface for this optic, so the lens focal length does not have to be fixed across the entire field of view. Finally, we do not require a fixed field stop; we will optimize the design to use as large an aperture as possible. The modulator position near the mirror is shown in FIG. 14 by a small black bar. Note that vignetting is minimized by keeping the bar parallel to the mirror surface. Not shown is the glass layer in front of the reflective surface.

The optimization merit function, which the ray tracing program automatically minimizes to find the best optical solution, will be weighted to emphasize the edge of the field of view. This is where the communication range is the longest (20 km) and the effective aperture is the smallest. For light coming in on-axis, the maximum range is only 10 km, so the retro-reflected signal is roughly 16 times stronger. If the optical design shows good performance on-axis, this will result in good communication under more adverse conditions. This type of tradeoff will be studied during lens optimization.

While an all-spherical design is desired, it might be necessary to place a simple asphere on some lens surfaces. Molded plastic or glass lenses are now routinely used in the commercial world, so this aspect should not restrict the design. The goal is to produce a design with the largest possible aperture, but some tradeoffs with manufacturability must always be considered.

The design should also be rugged and work over a wide temperature range. The spacing between the lenses and the mirror is critical. For best performance in this retro-reflecting system, the focus error should be on the order of the wavelength times the square of the focal ratio, or about 10 microns. This can easily be held with the appropriate spacer materials; Invar or silica, for example,

are adequate. Depending on the actual glasses used in the final design, their effect on the focal length might also have to be considered in the overall compensation equation. Passive thermallization is always desired, but since some feedback from the airborne interrogator is possible, active thermallization might also be considered to further enhance performance.

Finally, if it is necessary to protect the reflecting surface from dust and contamination that might reduce retro-reflective efficiency, two alternatives are presented. The baseline approach is to make the mirror a second surface Mangin type. The mirror is not too large, so that BK7 can be used as a substrate, and a reflective coating applied to the back side. The reflection then goes through the glass, and any dust or contaminants on the first surface would be out of focus. Since that refractive surface is close to focus, its shape is not too critical, and a simple concentric surface should be acceptable. The back side reflector could be a gold coating, protected with a lacquer layer.

The alternative design, if the mirror is made of some low-expansion glass that does not transmit well, is to use a hard dielectric first surface mirror and use an anti-static type brush around the modulator aperture to keep the surface swept clean. As the modulator moves around the surface, the soft brush would sweep away dust, assuring that the reflection is always perfect.

Modulator Design

Applicants' preferred modulator for the retro-reflectors shown in FIGS, 2 and 14 are 6 mm diameter modulator according to the description in the '299 patent referred to in the Background Section and incorporated herein by reference. These modulators are available from the Naval Research Laboratories. Other modulators may be used. The key requirements include a small package, low power consumption, and 45 MHz modulation capability.

Applicants' preferred embodiments includes optical tracking. Assuming the modulator diameter is 6 mm, the tracking requirements are easy to meet. An error of 1 mm would cause a negligible decrease in signal, so a precision tracking system is not required. The tracking camera presented in the next section can handle an angular tracking motion of 24 degrees per second. On the mirror surface, this corresponds to traversing the mirror surface in 5 seconds. For the mirror shown here, the maximum velocity would be only 28 mm/sec. Normally, the motion would be much slower.

Two mechanical designs have been considered: one uses cables to directly pull the modulator on hinged rails placed near the mirror; the alternate design uses magnetic coupling through the mirror to pull the modulator anywhere on the surface, without rails.

The baseline design uses rails to guide the modulator, as shown in FIG. 16. The modulator is shown as a 50 mm wide board, but the actual active aperture would only be large enough for the optical beam to pass through. Each end of the board is attached to cables connected to miniature motors set up like the mechanisms on common ink jet printers or older X-Y chart recorders. To allow access to any part of the mirror's surface, each end of the rail must be hinged. (Both rails are actually split into two parts so that the optical beam can pass between them.) The orthogonal rail will then be free to drive the modulator along the spherical surface. Since accuracy is not a major concern, the guides can be loose enough to prevent binding in any conceivable circumstance.

One advantage of this design is that the optics are never touched, preserving the optical alignment and the mirror surface for good retro-reflections. The main disadvantage is that the rails are somewhat difficult to design, or align. A rail-less system is shown in FIG. 17, where magnets act through the glass to move the modulator.

174 Taking advantage of the curved back surface, a few strong magnets can be
176 positioned anywhere on the surface with a few opposing cables. A pair of rare
178 earth magnets only 19 mm diameter can easily work through a substrate 50 mm
180 thick, as long as the friction is not too large. The modulator board would be
supported over the mirror by small rolling sapphire spheres or Teflon pads. Even
if the mirror were a first surface design, durable hard-coated dielectric mirrors
can easily survive this type of friction.

182 The advantage of this type of design is that it is more reliable. The primary
disadvantage is the potential problem of scratches appearing on the reflective
184 surface. Since all the mechanical parts are on the back side, however, the
optical chamber can be assembled and sealed in a clean room, preserving the
186 optical cleanliness. The tradeoffs between these motion control choices will be
studied in more detail once the optical design has been finalized, in case that
188 design discourages the Mangin mirror approach.

190 The modulator board is shown with no direct connections to signal or power
supplies. In either option presented so far, a thin flexible cable could be used to
192 connect the modulator board, or even a fiber optic cable. A flexible service loop
could be located near the chamber walls, and springs could be used to keep the
194 slack from getting in the way of the optical beam. In Applicants' preferred
approach, however, Applicants are presenting a wireless link to provide both
196 power and signal. This approach seems reasonable, especially since the
requirements over such a short range seem simple to implement. This wireless
198 option reduces risk and enhances reliability by reducing the number of moving or
flexible components. The wireless transmitter is shown at 50 in FIG. 18 as the
200 box in the upper left corner.

202 Getting a 45 MHz signal to the board is relatively simple, using a diffused laser
source as a signal transmitter. A quick calculation shows that if a 3 mW-laser
204 at 850 nm floods the optical chamber, a 10 mm² silicon detector will pick up

about 1 microwatt of laser power, or about 1000 times its noise level. This is
more than enough margin to assure error-free signal transmission.

Inductive power coupling is used in a wide variety of consumer goods to provide
power to electric razors and toothbrushes, as well as computer accessories.
Normally, the wireless component runs on batteries that are kept charged while
the unit is docked to the charging station. Since the modulator here may be
turned on for a long period, we are assuming that our power requirements are
continuous. The modulator board would only have a small capacitor storage cell
that would operate the modulator for perhaps one or two seconds. This would
reduce weight on the board, and by eliminating batteries, would enhance
reliability. Power transfer over the entire range of the modulator motion is
inefficient compared to close-coupled transfers, but the power requirements are
expected to be so small that this inefficiency is not important.

An alternative to inductive coupling is using a solar cell on the board that picks
up light from a bright LED. This is relatively inefficient because the light must
be spread all across the field of regard, and only a small fraction can be
captured. This light might also cause problems for the communication signal,
although with appropriate filters, this could be a small effect. A few bright
LEDs could provide 10 microwatts from a 40 mm² solar cell.

Amendment to the Drawings

Please amend the drawings by replacing drawing sheet 6, containing FIGS. 12A through 14B, with the attached drawing sheet with revised FIGS. 12A through 15. The changes are made to re-number the drawings to correct the error of not having a FIG. 15 in the filed application. An annotated version of drawing sheet 6 is also attached.